

# Air Quality SIG

# Priority allocation

- 13 research needs identified
- Evaluation criteria used:
  - Significance: international/national/local issue
  - Value: in helping to meet statutory responsibilities
  - Urgency: how soon do we need the research
  - Incremental: do we need this before we can do the next step

# Context

- Ambient air quality guidelines established in 2002
- National Environmental Standards in 2004
- Main issue: PM<sub>10</sub> (particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter)
- Main source: home heating
- Management: emission limits placed on wood burners (NES), restrictions on the installation and use of burners across NZ
- PM<sub>10</sub> NES under review – revised version out end of year

# Priority 1: Accountability/effective management

- Air quality management is targeted, cost-effective and results driven
- Improvements in air quality actually decrease risks to health

- Key research questions:
  - Is management having the impact predicted?
  - Are there other options that would provide better/ guaranteed emission reductions (e.g. broad-scale infrastructure solutions)?
  - Have reductions in home heating emissions actually decreased risks to health?
  - Do we have the tools needed to accurately determine policy effectiveness?
  - How can management be more targeted, cost-effective and results-driven? Focus on those that need it most (susceptible populations) and on the most toxic contaminants?

- **Significant:** measures have been introduced at the local and national level
- **Of value:** new management approaches may be required if measures are not sufficient/effective
- **Urgent:** more challenging standards (e.g. PM<sub>2.5</sub>) will require more innovative solutions
- **Risk:** costs to community and health is high if measures are not achieving outcomes
- **Incremental:** to know how far we've got to go

## Priority 2: Identification of key sources and their contributions

- Linked to accountability/ effectiveness research
- Air quality managed by controlling discharges to air
- Assume a 1:1 relationship between emissions and concentrations

# Background

- Emission inventories: relative contribution of the main sources to emissions
- Management targets the worst polluters identified by inventories (home heating: open fires and older wood burners)
- Some research suggests that estimated emissions decreasing at a faster rate than concentrations (emission estimates wrong?)



- Research required:

- Validation methods and uncertainty quantification
- Comprehensive in-home emission studies to provide reliable wood burner use and emissions data
- Develop new methods (not reliant on householder surveys) for quantifying emissions, and from sources otherwise difficult to estimate (e.g. Rural burns, background, natural, paved road dust)

- **Significant:** measures have been introduced at the local and national level
- **Of value:** enable more accurate prediction of target compliance, ensure targets met
- **Urgent:** potential introduction of a PM<sub>2.5</sub> std means further management required (based on robust science)
- **Risk:** objectives may not be met as measures may not be the most effective
- **Incremental:** better science for better solutions

# Priority 3: Air toxics and other contaminants

- Air quality issues are not just limited to PM<sub>10</sub>
- NZ Ambient Air Quality Guidelines:
  - 1,3 butadiene, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, benzo(a)pyrene, benzene, mercury, chromium VI, arsenic and arsene
  - Largely ignored, some measurements (often not std methods) and not managed directly
  - Benzene exceeded at some road-side sites
  - Benzo(a)pyrene 10 x GL in Christchurch, 20 x GL in Timaru
- USEPA and WHO have PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards
- Greater focus internationally on air toxics and various constituents of PM, and on a change from single pollutant management to a multi-pollutant approach

# Research needs

- Extent of the problem and whether or not current PM<sub>10</sub> strategies are helping or making things worse
- Prioritisation of contaminants based on toxicity and mix of sources
- Development of cheap, effective screening and analytical methods
- Development of a speciated source dataset (ie a library of source profiles including all relevant contaminants)
- Investigation into how a multi-pollutant approach to management might be implemented in New Zealand with recommendations for monitoring

- **Significant:** local, national and international
- **Of value:** current guidelines and international standards being ignored. May deliver better health outcomes
- **Urgent:** likely that NES will eventually be developed, moves towards multi-pollutant management
- **Risk:** regulation ahead of science
- **Incremental:** better science for better solutions but hard to get \$\$ without the push of standards